

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/483,170	01/14/2000	Suresh Venkatraman	MFCP.68209 8035	
7	590 11/22/2002			
Mauricio A Uribe Shook Hardy & Bacon LLP One Kansas City Place			EXAMINER	
			HOANG, PHUONG N	
1200 Main Street Kansas City, MO 64105-2118			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2126	÷ .
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		.//				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/483,170	VENKATRAMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuong N. Hoang	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja	<u>anuary 2000</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa- closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) 1 - 54 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers	oloosion roquii olilonii.					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	ted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bun See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the company of the certified of the company of the certified copies of the priori and the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bundanian and the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bundanian and the certified copies of the	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language prov	visional application has been re	ceived.				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesticAttachment(s)	priority under 35 U.S.C. 99 12	U anu/UL 121.				
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2151

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 4, 6 – 8, 13 – 16, 18, 33 – 35, 37, 38 – 41, 43 – 45, and 50 – 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsushi Kanamori, U.S. patent no. 5,754,854.

As to claim 33, Kanamori teaches a central data server (transferee program 321, col. 5, lines 52 - 65), central data store (operating system 240, col. 5, lines 12 - 30), client application (spreadsheet or graphing program, col. 1 lines 15 - 20), system resource data (global shared resource, col. 4, lines 35 - 45 and col. 5, lines 15 - 30), an update communications server (transferor program, col. 5, lines 62 - 66).

Central data server of Kanamori is connected to the central data store. It would have been obvious to make it to be a communication link between said central data store and client applications because the central data server is one of the communicating programs (col. 1 lines 15 – 20).

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 34, Kanamori teaches font resource data (fonts, col. 5, lines 45 – 52).

As to claim 35, it would have been obvious to make the Kanamori's central data server to be central font cache server because it also contains font resources.

As to claim 37, it would have been obvious to make the update communication server to be a font cache update window because it also contains font resources.

As to claim 38, see claim 33 above. Further, Kanamori teaches obtaining a copy of resource data (copies the contents of global resource, col. 4, lines 35 – 45), receiving data process request (receives the request for data, col. 1, lines 48 – 50), sharing the copy of the resource data (access to the proxies of shared resource, col. 4, lines 35 – 45 and col. 5 lines 35 – 45), and communicating the processed resource data requests to the respective applications (directs data to the transferee program, col. 5, lines 55 – 60).

As to claim 39, Kanamori teaches creating an instance of a central server (transferee program 321, col. 5, lines 52 – 65).

It would have been obvious to have instructions so the system can run the transferee program.

As to claim 40, see claim 35 above.

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 41, Kanamori teaches creating an instance of a central data store (create when operating system 240 runs, col. 5,lines 12 - 30) storing the resource data.

As to claim 43, Kanamori teaches transferring the data requests to the data store (transferor and transferee access to operating system, col. 2 lines 15 – 20).

As to claim 44, see claim 33 above.

As to claim 45, Kanamori teaches a fast access array (heap, col. 5 lines 65 - 67).

As to claim 50, see claim 34 above.

As to claim 51 and 52, Kanamori teaches single document interface applications (spreadsheet or graphing program, col. 1 lines 15 – 20).

As to claim 53, It would have been obvious to make single document interface applications are created from a single software platform because nowadays software technology can provide this capability.

As to claim 54, Kanamori teaches a computer system (computer system 200, col. 5 lines 10 - 20) having memory (memory 230, col. Lines 10 - 20), an operating system (operating system 240, col. Lines 10 - 20), and a central processor (it is inherent in a computer system).

As to claim 1, see claim 38 above. Further, Kanamori teaches storing a shared copy of resource data (proxy resource, col. 4 lines 35 – 45 and col. 5 lines 35 - 45).

Art Unit: 2151

As to claim 2, see claim 39 above.

As to claim 3, see claim 40 above.

As to claim 4, see claim 41 above.

As to claim 6 – 8, see claim 43 - 45 respectively.

As to claim 13 - 16, see claim 50 - 53 respectively.

As to claim 18, see claim 1, 13, and 15 above.

2. Claims 5, 17, 36, and 42 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsushi Kanamori, U.S. patent no. 5,754,854, and further in view of Jon Franklin Matousek, U.S. patent no. 5,706,462.

As to claim 36, Matousek teaches font data store (font width cache, col. 13, lines 5 - 10).

It would have been obvious to make the Kanamori's central data store to be central font cache server because they are also font resources.

As to claim 42 and 5, see claim 36 above.

As to claim 17, Matousek teaches instructions (instructions, col. 8, lines 45 – 65).

It would have been obvious to make the Kanamori's system to have the instructions so it can carries out all the implementations.

Art Unit: 2151

3. Claims 9 – 12, 19 – 32, and 46 – 49 are are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atsushi Kanamori, U.S. patent no. 5,754,854, and further in view of Vlad Bril, U.S. patent no. 5,539,428.

As to claim 46, Bril teaches refreshing the resource data (update the fonts (col. 7, lines 50 - 67).

It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Bril to Kanamori's system to share the updated resources.

As to claim 47, see claim 33 and 37 above. Further, Bril teaches obtaining a new copy of the resource data (load a new font, col. 7 lines 50 – 55).

It would have been ob obvious to apply the teaching of Bril to Kanamori's system to share the updated resources.

As to claim 48, Bril teaches receiving an update resource data (updated, col. 7, lines 60 – 63).

It would have been ob obvious to apply the teaching of Bril to Kanamori's system to share the updated resources.

As to claim 49, Bril teaches update font resource (updated with new fonts, col. 7, lines 60 – 63).

It would have been ob obvious to apply the teaching of Bril to Kanamori's system to share the updated resources.

As to claim 9 – 12, see claim 46 – 49 respectively.

As to claim 19 – 21, see claim 9 – 11 respectively.

As to claim 22, see claim 47 above.

Art Unit: 2151

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art understand that it needs to get the commands from the application for acquiring a new copy of font resource data.

As to claim 23, see claim 4 and 5 above.

As to claim 24, see claim 2 and 14 above.

As to claim 25, see claim 1 and 6.

As to claim 26, see claim 7 above.

As to claim 27, see claim 8 above.

As to claim 28, Kanamori teaches system handle (handle, col. 5, lines 64 – 67).

As to claim 29, see claim 15 above.

As to claim 30, see claim 16 above.

As to claim 31, see claim 17 above.

As to claim 32, see claim 54 above.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong N. Hoang whose telephone number is (703) 605-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alvin Oberley can be reached on (703)305-9716. The fax phone numbers

Art Unit: 2151

Page 8

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)746-7140.

ph

November 18, 2002

ALVIN OBERLEY

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100